## 25 March 1948

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

E LES

Subject:

Keeting of SAMACC Bubcommittee on Psychological Warfare

1. A special meeting of the SANAGO Subsemmittee on Psychological Warfare met at 1100 today, Thursday, 25 March 1948, to discuss certain suggestions offered by the Air Force on paper 304/12. The following members were present:

> Chairman - Mr. Ralph Block dapt. Karl Poshlasan Concie, David Knoll Colonel Olyde Rich

- State Department - Kayy Department

- Eavy Department

- Air force

Golomel William McHames - Army Department

+ CIA

2. The Chairman initially raised the question as to whether the suggested alterations should be made by the Sabconsittee and assued in a paper which would be substituted for the present 30h/12, or whether the changes were such as could be effected by SANACO. Mr. Block then turned the meeting over to Colonel Rich.

3. Colonel Rich stated that Mr. Cornelius Whitney, Assistant Secretary of the Air Force, was very pleased with the organisations: presentation for the proposed National Security Information Agency. He had two suggestions to offer which he felt would strengthen the paper and which he felt would expedite its approval by SANACO. His goal of endeavor was to have the changes made, have each of the mesters of the Subcommittee sell the paper and its contents to their pertinent SANACC members and then get speedy action in a matter of days by SANACC.

L. The two suggestions offered by Mr. Whitney through Colonel Riet were:

A. A restatement of THE PHONIES, which now reade: "To "I vide a plan for a National Wartine Psychological Warfare organizati m which may be used as a basis for further planning" to read "Te provide outline plan for a National Wartine Psychological Warfare organisation to function in time of war, or threat of war, as my be determined by the President." It's Writney combended that his suggested alteration would strengthen the PROBLEM statement.

b. Under the RECOMMENDATIONS, c reads that the paper after approval by SAMACC should be forwarded to the Hational Security Council, Scoretary of State, Secretary of Defense, Secretary of the Army, Secretary of the Navy, Secretary of the Air Force.

25X1

Joint Chiefs of Staff, and the Director, Central Intelligence Agency. Mr. Whitney suggested that this be changed to read "After approval this paper be forwarded to the National Becurity Council for approval and apprepriate action."

- paper was about to be issued, I told the Chairman that I had two singestions which would improve the paper one to clarify the position of the JCS and the second to give the reason why the Deputy for Intelligence in the proposed NSIA was kept separate from the Deputy for Plans and Operations. The Committee members felt that both views would improve the paper.
- 6. At this point, however, something hap sensed which changed the shole complexion of the discussion. Captain Poshkann stated that he had some changes to offer also and his suggestions would have altered many of the charts and explanatory notes as they are now prepared. It was indeed unfortunate that Captain Poshkann offered such lengthy and somewhat murky changes because many of the Committee members felt that to issue these together with what had already been presented would have had a tendency to weaken the entire presentation.
- 7. Thus it was that the view was expressed by Colonel TeNames that perhaps the most speedy way to get approval on the paper would be to let the document stand as it is with its present shortcomings at I allow SANACC to make the changes if the changes were deemed tecessary.
- 8. Colonel Rich was obviously displeased with the manipulations of Captain Poehlmann and said that since the Air Force's suggested that apparently set off chain reaction with many other views being offered by other agencies, he was withdrawing his points and was going to report to Mr. Whitney that the Subcommittee had not accepted his resonmendations and that the best solution was to call an early SANACC recting.
- 9. The feeling that I could clarify the atmosphere and tactivally get Captain Poehlmann to withdraw his views, I told the Subcommittees that the two points which I had raised were injected only on the assumption that a new paper was being issued; that they were not offered as justification for a new paper and furthermore if adding them we complicate Colonel Rich's presentation then I withdrew them immediately. Captain Poehlmann did follow the example and withdrew his suggested changes and at that stage it looked like we might be able to get a corrected procrised incorporating the viewpoints of Mr. Whitney. However, our impair were short lived.
- 10. Captain Poshlmann would not agree to changing the wording of the statements of THE PROBLEM. We attempted to show him that nothing was lost by dropping the words "which may be used as a basis for firther planning" since in paragraph 6 of the DISCUSSION we indicate that after

approval of the plan by SANACC the Subcommittee will do further work to develop the organizational outline presented in the paper. Try as we may, we couldn't change the Captain so the meeting adjourned.

11. At a subsequent luncheon with Colonels Rich and McKames, Colonel Rich expressed himself as quite preved with the actions of the Navy member of the Subcommittee and after discussion of this secting with Mr. Whitney he may make another effort at the meeting to be held tomorrow morning (Friday, 26 March) to get Mr. Whitney's ideas incorporated in a corrected paper, if Mr. Whitney so desires.



25X1